arXiv:1603.06411v1 [hep-th] 21 Mar 2016

Open string T-duality in a weakly curved background

Ljubica Davidović *
Institute of Physics,
University of Belgrade,
11001 Belgrade, P.O.Box 57, Serbia

March 22, 2016

Abstract

We consider the theory of an open string moving in a weakly curved background, composed of a constant metric and a linearly coordinate dependent Kalb-Ramond field with an infinitesimal field strength. We find its T-dual using the generalized Buscher procedure developed for the closed string moving in a weakly curved background, using the fact that solving the boundary conditions, the open string theory transforms to the effective closed string theory. So, T-dualizing the effective theory along all effective directions we obtain its T-dual theory and resume the open sting theory which has such an effective theory. In this way we obtain the open string theory T-dual.

1 Introduction

T-duality (reviewed in [1, 2]) is a symmetry of a string spectrum, exchanging the momentum and the winding numbers, a symmetry which was not encountered in any point particle theory [1, 2, 3, 4], a symmetry which is therefore naturally assumed to be connected with the fact that the strings unlike the point particles can wrap around compactified dimensions [5, 6, 7]. T-duality is a symmetry which connects string theories which are physically equivalent, but describe the strings which move in space-times with different geometries of the compactified dimensions. The theory with one dimension compactified on a circle of radius R is by T-duality physically equivalent to the theory with one dimension compactified on a circle of radius l_s/R, where l_s is the fundamental string length scale.

Existence of T-duality, initiated the search for the T-dualization procedure, the set of rules which give a prescription for determining all string theories T-dual to the one given theory. The problem was solved for some particular backgrounds. The first investigations

*e-mail: ljubica@ipb.ac.rs

addressing the problem, were done for a background containing a metric $G_{\mu\nu}$, an antisymmetric field $B_{\mu\nu}$ and a dilaton field Φ, and it was required that the metric admits at least one abelian isometry which leaves the action invariant. The investigation resulted in the Buscher procedure [8, 9, 10]. This procedure offers the prescription, founded in localizing the global isometry. The main object of the procedure is a gauge invariant action, which carries the information on both the initial theory and the T-dual theory, so that both of these theories can be obtained from the qauge invariant action for one of the two of its equations of motion. The procedure is applicable to the constant background and the coordinate dependent backgrounds, but only along directions which do not appear as the background field's arguments. Our papers [11, 12, 13] offered the generalized procedure, which is applicable along arbitrary coordinate regardless of weather this coordinate appears as an argument of the background fields or not. The main difference between the standard and the generalized procedure is that in the generalized procedure, in addition to the introduction of the gauge fields and the covariant derivatives one should also introduce an invariant argument which is defined as a line integral of the gauge fields. The generalized procedure was realized for the closed string moving in a curved background with an infinitesimal coordinate dependence, named the weakly curved background [11]. A more general procedure was defined in [12] for a weakly curved background of the second order, which does not possess the global isometry. In this paper, we will use the first version of the generalized procedure to address the T-duality of an open string moving in the weakly curved background. T-duality of an open string moving in a background of type IIB, was a subject of investigation in [14, 15]. T-duality of an open string differs from the closed string T-duality, due to a simple fact that the open string does not have a winding number. Its ends move on a Dirichlet branes and can simply unwind [16].

The investigation of an open bosonic string moving in a weakly curved background, done in papers [17, 18, 19], dedicated to solving the boundary conditions, showed that the effective theory obtained for the solution of the boundary conditions is in fact a closed string theory. The boundary conditions were treated by Dirac method in [17, 18]. The paper [19] included a close examination of the parity of the boundary conditions, equations of motion and the consistency conditions. Both approaches lead to equivalent solutions. The effective theory, obtained for the solution, is defined on the doubled target space, which consists of a symmetric and an antisymmetric variables $(q^\mu, \tilde{q}^\mu)$, with the first variable being the even part of the initial coordinate and the second variable being the double of the first variable. The effective metric depends on the effective coordinate q^μ, while the effective Kalb-Ramond field depends on a double variable $\tilde{q}^\nu$.

In this paper, we will apply the generalized Buscher procedure [11, 12, 13], developed for the closed string moving in the weakly curved background, to the effective closed string theory, obtained for the solution of the open string boundary conditions, along all

effective coordinates. We will obtain its T-dual theory. We will show that T-dualization of the obtained theory along all dual coordinates leads to the initial theory. Our main goal is to find the open string T-dual. So, we'll assume that the obtained T-dual theory is an effective theory of some open string theory. We search for the explicit form of this theory. Demanding that the effective theory of the unknown theory is exactly the T-dual theory, we obtain a T-dual of the initial open string theory. The obtained theory is defined in the geometrical space, on the contrary to the closed string case, where the T-dualization led to a T-dual theory defined on the non-geometrical double space. The relations between the initial background and its T-dual, differ from those in the closed string case.

2 Open string theory in a weakly curved background (recapitulation)

Let us consider an open bosonic string moving in a background defined by a metric tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$, an antisymmetric Kalb-Ramond field $B_{\mu\nu}$, and a constant dilaton field $\Phi = const$, described by the action [3, 4]

$$S[x] = \kappa \int_{\Sigma} d^2\xi \ \partial_+ x^\mu \Pi_{+\mu\nu}(x) \partial_- x^\nu, \tag{2.1}$$

where the integration goes over the two-dimensional world-sheet Σ parametrized by $\xi^0 = \tau$, $\xi^1 = \sigma$ with $\sigma \in [0, \pi]$, the light-cone coordinates and their derivatives are $\xi^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\tau \pm \sigma)$, $\partial_\pm = \partial_\tau \pm \partial_\sigma$, the coordinates of the D-dimensional space-time are $x^\mu(\xi)$, $\mu = 0, 1, ..., D-1$ and $\Pi_{\pm\mu\nu}$ is a combination of the background fields, defined by

$$\Pi_{\pm\mu\nu}(x) = B_{\mu\nu}(x) \pm \frac{1}{2} G_{\mu\nu}(x). \tag{2.2}$$

We will also use the notation $\dot{x} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tau}$, $x' = \frac{\partial x}{\partial \sigma}$.

We will consider the open string moving in the weakly curved background [20, 21, 22, 23], defined by

$$G_{\mu\nu} = const, \quad B_{\mu\nu}(x) = b_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3} B_{\mu\nu\rho} x^\rho, \tag{2.3}$$

where the parameter $b_{\mu\nu}$ is constant and $B_{\mu\nu\rho}$ is constant and infinitesimal. Through the paper we will work in the linear order in $B_{\mu\nu\rho}$, and in this approximation the weakly curved background obeys the space-time equations of motion

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\rho\sigma} B_\nu{}^{\rho\sigma} = 0\,, \tag{2.4}$$

$$D_\rho B^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

obtained from the requirement of the conformal invariance of the quantum theory, which are given here in the lowest order in a slope parameter α' and for the constant dilaton field $\Phi = const.$

The minimal action principle for the open string, described by (2.1), gives the equation of motion

$$\partial_+\partial_- x^\mu - B^\mu{}_{\nu\rho}\partial_+ x^\nu \partial_- x^\rho = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

and the boundary conditions on the string endpoints

$$\gamma^0_\mu\Big|_{\sigma=0,\pi} = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\gamma^0_\mu \equiv \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta x'^\mu} = G_{\mu\nu}x'^\nu - 2B_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\nu = \Pi_{+\mu\nu}\partial_- x^\nu + \Pi_{-\mu\nu}\partial_+ x^\nu. \tag{2.8}$$

Solving of these boundary conditions was a subject of investigation of our papers [17, 18, 19]. In the first two papers, the conditions were treated as constraints and we applied the Dirac consistency procedure. We obtained the infinite number of constraints, gathered them into two parameter dependent constraints, which were solved. We obtained the form of the initial coordinates satisfying the boundary conditions. In the paper [19], we obtained the analogous result by examining the parity of the equations of motion, boundary conditions and the consistency conditions. The solution of the boundary condition at $\sigma = 0$ is

$$\partial_\pm x^\mu \;\; = \;\; (G^{-1})^{\mu\rho}\Big[G_{\rho\nu} - A_{\rho\nu}(\tilde{q}) \pm 2B_{\rho\nu}(q)\Big]\partial_\pm q^\nu, \tag{2.9}$$

where $A_{\rho\nu}(\tilde{q}) = \Big[h(\tilde{q}) - 12bh(\tilde{q})b - 12h(b\tilde{q})b + 12bh(b\tilde{q})\Big]_{\rho\nu}$, q^μ is the even part of the initial coordinate

$$q^\mu(\sigma) \;\; = \;\; \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\sigma^{2n}}{(2n)!}x^{(2n)\mu}\Big|_{\sigma=0}, \tag{2.10}$$

and $\tilde{q}$ is its double, which satisfies

$$\dot{\tilde{q}}^\mu = q'^\mu, \qquad \tilde{q}'^\mu = \dot{q}^\mu. \tag{2.11}$$

Changing the domain to $\sigma \in [-\pi, \pi]$, one enables the solution (2.9) to satisfy the boundary condition at the other string end-point as well. For details see [19]. Substituting the solution (2.9) to the initial action we obtain the effective theory

$$S^{eff} = \kappa\int d\tau\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma\, \partial_+ q^\mu\, \Pi^{eff}_{+\mu\nu}(q, 2b\tilde{q})\, \partial_- q^\nu, \tag{2.12}$$

with an effective background

$$\Pi^{eff}_{\pm\mu\nu}(q, 2b\tilde{q}) \equiv B^{eff}_{\mu\nu}(2b\tilde{q}) \pm \frac{1}{2}G^{eff}_{\mu\nu}(q). \tag{2.13}$$

The effective variable is $q^\mu(\sigma)$, an even part of the initial coordinate. The effective metric and the Kalb-Ramond field are explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} G^{eff}_{\mu\nu}(q) &= G^E_{\mu\nu}(q) := \big(G - 4B^2(q)\big)_{\mu\nu}, \\ B^{eff}_{\mu\nu}(2b\tilde{q}) &= -\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big(g_E \Delta\theta(2b\tilde{q}) g_E\Big)_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where $\Delta\theta^{\mu\nu}$ is the infinitesimal part of the non-commutativity parameter

$$\theta^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\kappa}\Big[G_E^{-1} B G^{-1}\Big]^{\mu\nu} = \theta_0^{\mu\nu} - \frac{2}{\kappa}\Big[g_E^{-1}(h + 4bhb) g_E^{-1}\Big]^{\mu\nu}, \tag{2.15}$$

defined in analogy with the flat space-time non-commutativity parameter introduced in [24].

The obtained theory is equivalent to the initial theory, and it describes the effective close string. We are interested in finding its T-dual, which can be done using a generalized Buscher procedure, developed in [11, 12, 13]. In general, the procedure could be applied along arbitrary set of the effective coordinates. In this paper, we will investigate only the application along all effective coordinates.

3 T-dualization of the effective theory

Let us apply the generalized Buscher T-dualization procedure, introduced in [11], to the effective theory (2.12) along all effective directions q^μ. The first task is to localize the global symmetry $\delta q^\mu = \lambda^\mu = const$ and find the gauge invariant action. Following the procedure, we substitute the light-cone derivatives $\partial_\pm q^\mu$ of the effective theory (2.12) with the covariant derivatives $D_\pm q^\mu$, defined by

$$D_\pm q^\mu = \partial_\pm q^\mu + v^\mu_\pm, \tag{3.1}$$

where $v^\mu_\pm$ are the gauge fields, which transform as $\delta v^\mu_\pm = -\partial_\pm \lambda^\mu$. We also substitute the argument of the background fields, with an invariant argument, which is obtained substituting the effective coordinate q^μ and its double $\tilde{q}^\mu$ with an invariant effective coordinate and its double, defined by the following line integrals of the gauge fields

$$\Delta V^\mu = \int_P (d\xi^+ v^\mu_+ + d\xi^- v^\mu_-), \quad \Delta\tilde{V}^\mu = \int_P (d\xi^+ v^\mu_+ - d\xi^- v^\mu_-). \tag{3.2}$$

The line integral is taken along the path P, from the initial point ξ^α_0 to the point ξ^α. The last step in forming the gauge invariant action is adding the Lagrange multiplier term $\frac{1}{2}(v^\mu_+ \partial_- \varrho_\mu - v^\mu_- \partial_+ \varrho_\mu)$, which makes the introduced gauge fields nonphysical. Fixing the gauge by $q^\mu(\xi) = q^\mu(\xi_0)$, we obtain the gauge fixed action, which reads

$$\mathcal{S}_{fix} = \kappa \int d^2\xi \Big[v^\mu_+ \Pi^{eff}_{+\mu\nu}(\Delta V, 2b\Delta\tilde{V}) v^\nu_- + \frac{1}{2}(v^\mu_+ \partial_- \varrho_\mu - v^\mu_- \partial_+ \varrho_\mu)\Big]. \tag{3.3}$$

The next step in the T-dualization procedure is finding the equations of motion of the gauge fixed action. For the equation of motion for the Lagrange multiplier the action will reduce to the initial action, while for the equation for the gauge fields the action will become the T-dual action. Varying the action (3.3), one obtains the following equations of motion

$$\partial_- v_+^\mu - \partial_+ v_-^\mu = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\Pi^{eff}_{\pm\mu\nu}(\Delta V, 2b\Delta\tilde{V}) v_\mp^\nu + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mp \varrho_\mu = \pm\beta_\mu^\pm(V), \tag{3.5}$$

where the beta functions $\beta_\mu^\pm(V)$ come from the variation over the background field's arguments, and are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_A \mathcal{S}_{fix} &= \kappa \int d\xi^2 \Big[\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\rho B^{eff}_{\mu\nu}(2b\delta\tilde{V})^\rho + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\rho G^{eff}_{\mu\nu}\delta V^\rho\Big]\partial_\alpha V^\mu \partial_\beta V^\nu \\ &= -\kappa \int d^2\xi (\beta_\mu^+ \delta v_+^\mu + \beta_\mu^- \delta v_-^\mu). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Partially integrating, using the fact that $\partial_\alpha \delta\tilde{V}^\mu = \varepsilon^\beta{}_\alpha \delta v_\beta^\mu$, one obtains the explicit form of the beta functions

$$\beta_\mu^\pm(V) = \Big[- b_\mu{}^\sigma \partial_\sigma B^{eff}_{\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{4}\partial_\mu G^{eff}_{\nu\rho}\Big] V^\nu \partial_\mp V^\rho. \tag{3.7}$$

Substituting the explicit values of the effective background fields (2.14), (A.2) and (2.15) one obtains

$$\beta_\mu^\pm(V) = -\frac{1}{3}\Big[b_\mu{}^\sigma B_{\sigma\nu\rho} + b_\nu{}^\sigma B_{\sigma\rho\mu} + b_\rho{}^\sigma B_{\sigma\nu\mu} - 4 b_\mu{}^\sigma b_\nu{}^\tau b_\rho{}^\varepsilon B_{\sigma\tau\varepsilon}\Big] V^\nu \partial_\mp V^\rho. \tag{3.8}$$

3.1 Regaining the effective theory

Solving the equation (3.4), by

$$v_\pm^\mu = \partial_\pm q^\mu, \tag{3.9}$$

one obtains $V^\mu = q^\mu$ and $\tilde{V}^\mu = \tilde{q}^\mu$. Substituting these relations to the gauge fixed action (3.3), one confirms that it reduces to the effective action (2.12).

3.2 T-dual theory

To obtain the T-dual action, one should substitute the expressions for the gauge fields to the gauge fixed action. The gauge fields are obtained, multiplying the equation of motion (3.5), by the inverse of $\Pi^{eff}_{\pm\mu\nu}$, $(\Theta^{eff}_\mp)^{\mu\nu}$ defined in (A.9)

$$v_\pm^\mu = -\kappa(\Theta^{eff}_\pm)^{\mu\nu}(\Delta V, 2b\Delta\tilde{V})\Big[\partial_\pm \varrho_\nu \pm 2\beta_\nu^\mp(V)\Big]. \tag{3.10}$$

The beta functions will not contribute to the T-dual action because they are infinitesimal and appear within the quadratic term. So, the T-dual action reads

$$^\star\mathcal{S} = \kappa \int d^2\xi \partial_+ \varrho_\mu \frac{\kappa}{2} (\Theta_-^{eff})^{\mu\nu} (\Delta V(\varrho), 2b\Delta\tilde{V}(\varrho)) \partial_- \varrho_\nu. \tag{3.11}$$

Let us calculate the argument of the background fields. Using the zeroth order of the equations of motion (3.5) and (A.5)

$$\Pi^{eff}_{0\pm\mu\nu} v^\nu_{0\mp} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mp \varrho_\mu = \pm\frac{1}{2} g^{eff}_{\mu\nu} v^\nu_{0\mp} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mp \varrho_\mu = 0, \tag{3.12}$$

and the fact that the open string effective metric (A.2) is the same in both initial and the effective background, (A.4), one obtains the explicit value of the arguments (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} V_0^\mu(\varrho) &= (g_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(G^{eff}, B^{eff})\tilde{\varrho}_\nu = (g_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\varrho}_\nu, \\ \tilde{V}_0^\mu(\varrho) &= (g_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(G^{eff}, B^{eff})\varrho_\nu = (g_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\varrho_\nu. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Comparing the forms of the effective action (2.12) and the T-dual action (3.11), we see that they are equal under the following transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\pm q^\mu &\to \partial_\pm \varrho_\mu, \\ \Pi^{eff}_{+\mu\nu} &\to {}^\star\Pi^{\mu\nu}_+, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where the T-dual background is

$$^\star\Pi^{\mu\nu}_+(\Delta V, 2b\Delta\tilde{V}) := \frac{\kappa}{2}(\Theta^{eff}_-)^{\mu\nu}(\Delta V, 2b\Delta\tilde{V}). \tag{3.15}$$

Using (A.9), we obtain the T-dual metric $^\star G^{\mu\nu}$ which depends on the first variable ΔV^μ and the T-dual Kalb-Ramond field $^\star B^{\mu\nu}$, which depends on the second variable $2b^\mu{}_\nu \Delta\tilde{V}^\nu$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\star G^{\mu\nu} &= (G_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(\Delta V), \\ {}^\star B^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{\kappa}{2}(\theta^{eff})^{\mu\nu}(2b\Delta\tilde{V}) = \frac{\kappa}{2}\Delta\theta^{\mu\nu}(2b\Delta\tilde{V}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

We see, that the effective metric has transformed to its inverse and that the Kalb-Ramond field has transformed to the infinitesimal part of the non-commutativity parameter.

Comparing the actions one could conclude that the relation between the variables of the effective theory and its T-dual is simple as in (3.14). However, the real connection is given by the T-dual coordinate transformation law, which is obtained comparing the expressions for the gauge fields (3.9) and (3.10), and it reads

$$\partial_\pm q^\mu \cong -\kappa(\Theta^{eff}_\pm)^{\mu\nu}(\Delta V(\varrho), 2b\Delta\tilde{V}(\varrho))\Big[\partial_\pm \varrho_\nu \pm 2\beta^\mp_\nu(V(\varrho))\Big]. \tag{3.17}$$

In the zeroth order this law gives

$$q^{(0)\mu} \cong V^{(0)\mu}(\varrho), \tag{3.18}$$

which will be useful later on.

4 T-dulization of T-dual theory

Let us now show that the T-dualization of the T-dual theory leads to the initial effective theory. Following the T-dualization procedure, we localize the global symmetry $\delta\varrho_\mu = \lambda_\mu = const.$ We introduce the gauge fields $u_{\pm\mu}$, which transform as $\delta u_{\pm\mu} = -\partial_\pm\lambda_\mu$, substitute the ordinary derivatives $\partial_\pm\varrho_\mu$ in the T-dual action (3.11) by the covariant derivatives $D_\pm\varrho_\mu = \partial_\pm\varrho_\mu + u_{\pm\mu}$, substitute the coordinate ϱ_μ and its double $\tilde{\varrho}_\mu$ in the background field's argument by an invariant coordinate $\Delta U_\mu = \int_P(d\xi^+u_{+\mu} + d\xi^-u_{-\mu})$, and its double $\Delta\widetilde{U}_\mu = \int_P(d\xi^+u_{+\mu} - d\xi^-u_{-\mu})$, add the Lagrange multiplier ς^μ term and fix the gauge by $\varrho_\mu(\xi) = \varrho_\mu(\xi_0)$. In this way, we obtain the gauge fixed action for the T-dual action (3.11), which reads

$$^\star\mathcal{S}_{fix} = \kappa\int d^2\xi\Big[u_{+\mu}\frac{\kappa}{2}(\Theta_-^{eff})^{\mu\nu}\big(\Delta V(\Delta U), 2b\Delta\tilde{V}(\Delta U)\big)u_{-\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\big(u_{+\mu}\partial_-\varsigma^\mu - u_{-\mu}\partial_+\varsigma^\nu\big)\Big]. \tag{4.1}$$

Varying it over ς^μ and $u_{\pm\mu}$, one obtains the following equations of motion

$$\partial_+u_{-\mu} - \partial_-u_{+\mu} = 0, \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big(\Theta_\pm^{eff}\Big)^{\mu\nu}\big(\Delta V(\Delta U), 2b\Delta\tilde{V}(\Delta U)\big)u_{\pm\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\pm\varsigma^\mu = \mp\kappa(\Theta_{0\pm}^{eff})\beta^{\mp\mu}(V(U)), \tag{4.3}$$

where the right hand side is the contribution from the variation over the background field's arguments

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_A{}^\star\mathcal{S}_{aux} &= \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\int d^2\xi\Big[\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\rho(\theta^{eff})^{\mu\nu}(2b\delta\tilde{V}(U))^\rho \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\kappa}\eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\rho((G_E^{eff})^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\delta V^\rho(U)\Big]\partial_\alpha U_\mu\partial_\beta U_\nu \\ &= -\kappa\int d^2\xi\Big[\delta u_{+\mu}\kappa(\Theta_{0-}^{eff})^{\mu\nu}\beta_\nu^+(V(U)) + \delta u_{-\mu}\kappa(\Theta_{0+}^{eff})^{\mu\nu}\beta_\nu^-(V(U))\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

with the beta functions $\beta_\mu^\pm$ given by (3.7). Multiplying the equation (4.3) by the inverse of $\Big(\Theta_\pm^{eff}\Big)^{\mu\nu}$, $\Pi_{\mp\mu\nu}^{eff}$, defined in (2.13), we obtain the gauge fields

$$u_{\pm\mu} = -2\Pi_{\mp\mu\nu}^{eff}\big(\Delta V(\Delta U), 2b\Delta\tilde{V}(\Delta U)\big)\partial_\pm\varsigma^\nu \mp 2\,\beta_\mu^\mp(V(U)). \tag{4.5}$$

The gauge fixed action (4.1) reduces to its initial theory (3.11) for the equation of motion for the Lagrange multiplier (4.2) and to the effective theory for the equation of motion for the gauge fields (4.5). One can verify that the solution of the equation (4.2)

$$u_{\pm\mu} = \partial_\pm\varrho_\mu, \tag{4.6}$$

which implies $V^\mu(U) = V^\mu(\varrho)$ and $\tilde{V}^\mu(U) = \tilde{V}^\mu(\varrho)$ transforms the gauge fixed action (4.1) to the T-dual action (3.11). On the other hand, substituting the gauge fields (4.5) to the gauge fixed action (4.1), using the zeroth order value of the gauge fields

$$u_{0\pm\mu} = -2\Pi^{eff}_{0\mp\mu\nu}\partial_\pm\varsigma^\nu = \pm g^E_{\mu\nu}\partial_\pm\varsigma^\nu, \tag{4.7}$$

which implies

$$U^{(0)}_\mu = g^E_{\mu\nu}\tilde{\varsigma}^\nu, \tag{4.8}$$

while $V^{(0)\mu}(U^{(0)}) = \varsigma^\mu$ and $\tilde{V}^{(0)\mu}(U^{(0)}) = \tilde{\varsigma}^\mu$, one obtains the effective theory (2.12), with $q^\mu = \varsigma^\mu$. The results show that T-dual of the T-dual is the initial theory.

Comparing the expressions for the gauge fields (4.6) and (4.5), we obtain the T-dual coordinate transformation law

$$\partial_\pm\varrho_\mu \cong -2\Pi^{eff}_{\mp\mu\nu}\big(\Delta V(\Delta U), 2b\Delta\tilde{V}(\Delta U)\big)\partial_\pm\varsigma^\nu \mp 2\,\beta^\mp_\nu(V(U)), \tag{4.9}$$

which is inverse to the law (3.17). In the zeroth order this law gives

$$\varrho^{(0)}_\mu \cong U^{(0)}_\mu(q). \tag{4.10}$$

5 Open string T-dual

Our goal is to find an open string theory such that its effective theory, obtained for the solution of the boundary conditions is exactly the T-dual theory (3.11). So, let us find the open string theory

$$\widetilde{S}[y] = \kappa\int_\Sigma d^2\xi\ \partial_+ y_\mu\widetilde{\Pi}^{\mu\nu}_+(y)\partial_- y_\nu, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\widetilde{\Pi}^{\mu\nu}_+ = \widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu} \pm \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$ and $\widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{b}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}\widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu\rho}y_\rho$, such that its effective theory (2.12)

$$\widetilde{S}^{eff} = \kappa\int d\tau\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\sigma\,\partial_+ q_\mu(y)\,\widetilde{\Pi}^{\mu\nu}_{+eff}\big(q(y), 2\tilde{b}\tilde{q}(y)\big)\,\partial_- q_\nu(y), \tag{5.2}$$

is the T-dual theory (3.11). The effective background is composed of the metric $(\widetilde{G}^{eff})^{\mu\nu} = \widetilde{G}^{\mu\nu}_E = \big(\widetilde{G} - 4\widetilde{B}^2\big)^{\mu\nu}$ and the Kalb-Ramond field $B^{eff}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\kappa}{2}(\tilde{g}_E\Delta\tilde{\theta}\tilde{g}_E)^{\mu\nu}$. The effective variables are $q_\mu(y)$, which is the even part of the variable y_μ and $\tilde{q}_\mu(y)$ is its double.

Let us first make a connection between the variables of these two theories. We suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} q_\mu(y) &= C_{\mu\nu}(g^{-1}_E)^{\nu\rho}\tilde{\varrho}_\rho\,, \\ \bar{q}_\mu(y) &= D_{\mu\nu}2(G^{-1}bg^{-1}_E)^{\nu\rho}\varrho_\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Then $\partial_\pm q_\mu(y) = \pm C_{\mu\nu}(g_E^{-1})^{\nu\rho}\partial_\pm \varrho_\rho$, so equating the actions (5.2) and (3.11) one obtains the condition for the background fields

$$g_E^{-1}C^T\widetilde{\Pi}_{+eff}\big(q(y), 2\tilde{b}\tilde{q}(y)\big)Cg_E^{-1} = -\frac{\kappa}{2}\Theta_-^{eff}\big(g_E^{-1}\tilde{\varrho}, 2G^{-1}bg_E^{-1}\varrho\big). \tag{5.4}$$

In the zeroth order this condition becomes

$$g_E^{-1}C^T\tilde{g}_E C g_E^{-1} = -g_E^{-1}, \tag{5.5}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G} &= -(C^T)^{-1}GC^{-1}, \\ \tilde{b} &= \pm(C^T)^{-1}bC^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Let us mark the variables of the T-dual theory by

$$z^\mu = (g_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\varrho}_\nu, \quad t^\mu = 2(G^{-1}bg_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}\varrho_\nu. \tag{5.7}$$

Using (5.6) and (5.3) we can note that

$$\bar{q}_\mu(y) = 2(\tilde{G}^{-1}\tilde{b})_\mu{}^\nu\tilde{q}_\nu(y) = \mp 2(CG^{-1}bC^{-1})_\mu{}^\nu\tilde{q}_\nu(y) = \mp C_{\mu\nu}t^\nu, \tag{5.8}$$

on the other hand from (5.3) follows that $\bar{q}_\mu(y) = D_{\mu\nu}t^\nu$, so we conclude that $D = \mp C$. Therefore, the coordinate that solves the boundary conditions of the unknown theory (5.1) is just

$$y_\mu = q_\mu(y) + \bar{q}_\mu(y) = C_{\mu\nu}(z^\nu \mp t^\nu) = C_{\mu\nu}\big((g_E^{-1})^{\nu\rho}\tilde{\varrho}_\rho \pm \kappa\theta_0^{\nu\rho}\varrho_\rho\big). \tag{5.9}$$

Now, we can write the first order part of the condition (5.4) as

$$\begin{aligned} g_E^{-1}C^T\tilde{G}_{E1}(Cz)Cg_E^{-1} &= -(G_E^{-1})_1(z), \\ g_E^{-1}C^T\tilde{g}_E\Delta\tilde{\theta}(\mp Ct)\tilde{g}_E C g_E^{-1} &= \Delta\theta(t). \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Using the explicit values of the effective open string metric, its inverse and the non-commutativity parameter (A.2), (A.6) and (A.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mp\big[bC^T\tilde{h}(Cz)C + C^T\tilde{h}(Cz)Cb\big] &= bh(z) + h(z)b, \\ \mp\big[C^T\tilde{h}(Ct)C + 4bC^T\tilde{h}(Ct)Cb\big] &= h(t) + 4bh(t)b, \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

with the following solution

$$\tilde{h}(Ct) = \mp(C^T)^{-1}h(t)C^{-1}. \tag{5.12}$$

Finally, we determine the open string T-dual background. It reads

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{G} &= -(C^T)^{-1}GC^{-1}, \\ \widetilde{B}(y) &= \pm(C^T)^{-1}(b - h(C^{-1}y))C^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Comparing the initial open string theory (2.1) and its T-dual (5.1), in the background (5.13), we see that they are equal under the following transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\pm}x^{\mu} &\rightarrow \partial_{\pm}y_{\mu}, \\ G &\rightarrow \widetilde{G} = -(C^{T})^{-1}GC^{-1}, \\ B(x) = b + h(x) &\rightarrow \widetilde{B}(y) = \pm(C^{T})^{-1}(b - h(C^{-1}y))C^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Choosing

$$C_{\mu\nu} = \widetilde{G}^{-1}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{5.15}$$

which is by (5.13) just $C_{\mu\nu} = -G_{\mu\nu}$, the T-dual of the open string in the weakly curved background becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{G}^{\mu\nu} &= -(G^{-1})^{\mu\nu}, \\ \widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu}(y) &= \tilde{b}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}\widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu\rho}y_{\rho}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

with the constant part of the Kalb-Ramond field equal to

$$\tilde{b}^{\mu\nu} = \pm\big(G^{-1}bG^{-1}\big)^{\mu\nu}, \tag{5.17}$$

and the field strength of the T-dual Kalb-Ramond field equal to

$$\widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu\rho} = \pm(G^{-1})^{\mu\sigma}(G^{-1})^{\nu\tau}(G^{-1})^{\rho\varepsilon}B_{\sigma\tau\varepsilon}, \tag{5.18}$$

and therefore $\widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu}(y) = \pm\big(G^{-1}B(G^{-1}y)G^{-1}\big)^{\mu\nu}$. In this case, the transformation of the background fields (5.14) is just

$$\Pi_{\pm\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow \widetilde{\Pi}^{\mu\nu}_{\pm}(y) = \begin{cases} \big(G^{-1}\big)^{\mu\rho}\Pi_{\mp\rho\sigma}(G^{-1}y)\big(G^{-1}\big)^{\sigma\nu} \\ -\big(G^{-1}\big)^{\mu\rho}\Pi_{\pm\rho\sigma}(G^{-1}y)\big(G^{-1}\big)^{\sigma\nu} \end{cases} . \tag{5.19}$$

6 Conclusion

In this paper we were looking for a T-dual of an open string moving in a weakly curved background. The starting theory S, was a subject of investigation in our previous papers [17, 18, 19], where it was shown that solving the boundary conditions at the open string end-points, one obtains the effective closed string described by the effective closed string theory S^{eff}, defined on the doubled space $(q^{\mu}, \tilde{q}^{\mu})$. The T-duals of such a theory, can be determined using the generalized Buscher T-dualization procedure, which we developed earlier in Refs. [11, 12, 13]. In this paper we performed the T-dualization procedure along all effective directions q^{μ}. We obtained the T-dual theory ${}^{\star}S^{eff}$. Applying the procedure to the obtained theory along all dual directions ϱ_{μ}, we returned to the effective theory.

So, we proved $S^{eff} \overset{T}{\leftrightarrow} {}^{\star}S^{eff}$. Finally, we searched for the open string theory $\tilde{S}$ such that its effective theory is ${}^{\star}S^{eff}$ exactly. We found the explicit form of $\tilde{S}$.

The relations between the theories investigated, are represented in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S = \kappa \int_{\Sigma} d^2\xi\, \partial_+ x^\mu \Pi_{+\mu\nu}(x) \partial_- x^\nu & \xrightarrow{solving\ BC} & S^{eff} = \kappa \int_{\Sigma^\star} d^2\xi\, \partial_+ q^\mu\, \Pi^{eff}_{+\mu\nu}(q, 2b\tilde{q})\, \partial_- q^\nu \\ \Big\downarrow T & & \Big\downarrow T \\ \tilde{S} = \kappa \int_{\Sigma} d^2\xi\, \partial_+ y_\mu \widetilde{\Pi}^{\mu\nu}_{+}(y) \partial_- y_\nu & \xrightarrow{solving\ BC} & {}^{\star}\mathcal{S}^{eff} = \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \int_{\Sigma^\star} d^2\xi\, \partial_+ \varrho_\mu (\Theta^{eff}_{-})^{\mu\nu} \big(g_E^{-1}\tilde{\varrho}, 2b g_E^{-1}\varrho\big) \partial_- \varrho_\nu. \end{array}$$

On the left hand side are the open string theories, depending on the ordinary coordinates x^μ and y_μ. On the right hand side are the effective theories of the open string theories, obtained for the solution of the boundary conditions. These theories are defined on the doubled spaces, which consist of the effective variables which are the even parts of the ordinary coordinates and their doubles. The effective theories are T-dual to each other, therefore their variables are connected by the T-dual coordinate transformation laws (3.17) and (4.9). The obtained T-dual coordinate transformation laws, could be used for investigation of the relations between the geometrical properties of the corresponding spaces. It is interesting to notice that the T-dualization of a closed string theory [11, 12, 13] led to a T-dual theory with the target space which significantly differs from the initial space. While the initial theory was defined in the ordinary space the T-dual was defined in the doubled space. In the open string case investigated here, the T-dualization does not cause such a transition. Both the initial theory and its T-dual are defined on the geometrical space.

The backgrounds of the above theories are

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{\mu\nu} = const, \quad B_{\mu\nu}(x) = b_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3} B_{\mu\nu\rho} x^\rho & \xrightarrow{solving\ BC} & G^{eff}_{\mu\nu} = G^{E}_{\mu\nu}(q), \quad B^{eff}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\kappa}{2}\big(g_E \Delta\theta(2b\tilde{q}) g_E\big)_{\mu\nu} \\ \Big\downarrow T & & \Big\downarrow T \\ \widetilde{G}^{\mu\nu} = \widetilde{const}, \quad \widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu}(y) = \tilde{b}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3} \widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu\rho} y_\rho & \xrightarrow{solving\ BC} & \widetilde{G}^{\mu\nu}_{eff} = (G_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(g_E^{-1}\tilde{\varrho}), \quad \widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu}_{eff} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}_{eff}(2b g_E^{-1}\varrho), \end{array}$$

with $\widetilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$, $\widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu}(y)$ given explicitly by (5.13). One can notice that the relation between the initial background and its T-dual in the open string case differs from that in the closed string case, as it should be expected. In the closed string case, the T-duality transforms the constant metric of the weakly curved background to a coordinate dependent effective metric inverse, while the linearly coordinate dependent Kalb-Ramond field is transformed into a coordinate dependent non-commutativity parameter. In the open string case, the important role in the relation between the T-dual backgrounds plays a matrix C, which is introduced to define the connection between the variables of the open string theory T-dual and the effective theory T-dual. It is obtained, that T-duality transforms the constant metric of the weakly curved background to a constant T-dual metric, while the coordinate dependent Kalb-Ramond field transforms again to the coordinate dependent field, which

is in this case of the same structure as the initial field.

Acknowledgment

Research is supported in part by the Serbian Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development (project No. 171031) and by The National Scholarship L'Oreal-UNESCO "For Women in Science".

A Background fields

The background fields used in the paper will be separated into their constant and coordinate dependent values.

- The weakly curved background

$$\begin{aligned} G_{0\mu\nu} &= const, \\ G_{1\mu\nu} &= 0, \\ B_{0\mu\nu} &= b_{\mu\nu} = const, \\ B_{1\mu\nu}(x) &= h_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{3} B_{\mu\nu\rho} x^{\rho}, \quad B_{\mu\nu\rho} = const. \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

- Effective metric $G^{eff}_{\mu\nu}(G,B) = (G_E)_{\mu\nu}(G,B) = G_{\mu\nu} - 4(BG^{-1}B)_{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned} G^{eff}_{0\mu\nu} = (G_{E0})_{\mu\nu} &= g_{\mu\nu} - 4b^2_{\mu\nu} = (g_E)_{\mu\nu}, \\ G^{eff}_{1\mu\nu} = (G_{E1})_{\mu\nu} &= -4(bh + hb)_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

- Effective Kalb-Ramond field $B^{eff}_{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned} B^{eff}_{0\mu\nu} &= 0, \\ B^{eff}_{1\mu\nu} &= -\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big(g_E \Delta\theta g_E\Big)_{\mu\nu} = \big(h + 4bhb\big)_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

  Note that because the effective Kalb-Ramond field is infinitesimal it holds

$$G_E(G^{eff}(x), B^{eff}(y)) = G^{eff}(x) = G_E(x). \tag{A.4}$$

- Background field combination $\Pi^{eff}_{\pm\mu\nu} = B^{eff}_{\mu\nu}(y) \pm \frac{1}{2} G^{eff}_{\mu\nu}(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{eff}_{0\pm\mu\nu} &= \pm\frac{1}{2}(g_E)_{\mu\nu}, \\ \Pi^{eff}_{1\pm\mu\nu} &= \big(h(y) + 4bh(y)b\big)_{\mu\nu} \mp 2\big(bh(x) + h(x)b\big)_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

- Effective metric inverse $(G_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu} = \Big(G_{E0}^{-1} - G_{E0}^{-1}G_{E1}G_{E0}^{-1}\Big)^{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned}(G_E^{-1})_0^{\mu\nu} &= (g_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu},\\ (G_E^{-1})_1^{\mu\nu} &= 4\Big(g_E^{-1}\big(bh+hb\big)g_E^{-1}\Big)^{\mu\nu}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

- Non-commutativity parameter $\theta^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\kappa}(G_E^{-1}BG^{-1})^{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_0^{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{2}{\kappa}(g_E^{-1}bG^{-1})^{\mu\nu},\\ \theta_1^{\mu\nu} &= \Delta\theta^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\kappa}\Big[g_E^{-1}(h+4bhb)g_E^{-1}\Big]^{\mu\nu}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

- Effective non-commutativity parameter

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{eff}^{\mu\nu} := \theta^{\mu\nu}\big(G_{eff}(x),B_{eff}(y)\big) &= -\frac{2}{\kappa}\Big(G_E^{-1}(G_{eff}(x),B_{eff}(y))B_{eff}(y)G_{eff}^{-1}(x)\Big)^{\mu\nu}\\ \theta_{0eff}^{\mu\nu} &= 0,\\ \theta_{1eff}^{\mu\nu}(x,y) &= \Delta\theta^{\mu\nu}(y) = -\frac{2}{\kappa}\Big(g_E^{-1}\big(h(y)+4bh(y)b\big)g_E^{-1}\Big)^{\mu\nu}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

- Tensor $\Theta_{\pm}^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\kappa}(G_E^{-1}\Pi_{\pm}G^{-1})^{\mu\nu} = \theta^{\mu\nu} \mp \frac{1}{\kappa}(G_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}$
- Effective tensor $(\Theta_{\pm}^{eff})^{\mu\nu}(x,y) \equiv \Theta_{\pm}^{\mu\nu}\big(G_{eff}(x),B_{eff}(y)\big) = \theta_{eff}^{\mu\nu}(y) \mp \frac{1}{\kappa}(G_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu}(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}(\Theta_{0\pm}^{eff})^{\mu\nu}(x,y) &= \mp\frac{1}{\kappa}(g_E^{-1})^{\mu\nu},\\ (\Theta_{1\pm}^{eff})^{\mu\nu}(x,y) &= \theta_{1eff}^{\mu\nu}(y) \mp \frac{1}{\kappa}(G_E^{-1})_1^{\mu\nu}(x).\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

References

[1] A. Giveon, M. Porrati, E. Rabinovici, Phys. Rep. 244, 77 (1994).

[2] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume,Y. Lozano, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 41, 1 (1995).

[3] B. Zwiebach, *A First Course in String Theory*, (Cambridge University Press, 2004).

[4] K. Backer, M. Backer and J. Schwarz, *String Theory and M-theory*, (Cambridge University Press, 2007).

[5] L. Brink, M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. B 198, 474 (1982).

[6] K. Kikkawa, M. Yamasaki, Phys. Lett. B 149, 357 (1984).

[7] N. Sakai, I. Senda, Prog. Theor. Phys. 75, 692 (1984).

[8] T. Buscher, Phys. Lett. B 194, 59 (1987).

[9] T. Buscher, Phys. Lett. B 201, 466 (1980).

[10] M. Roček and E.P. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B 373, 630 (1992).

[11] Lj. Davidović and B. Sazdović, EPJ**C 74** No. 1, 2683 (2014).

[12] Lj. Davidović and B. Sazdović, EPJ**C 75** No. 12, 576 (2015).

[13] Lj. Davidović, B. Nikolić and B. Sazdović, JHEP **11**, 119 (2015).

[14] B. Nikolić and B. Sazdović, Nucl. Phys. B 836, 100 (2010).

[15] B. Nikolić and B. Sazdović, Phys. Rev. D 84, 065012 (2011).

[16] J. Polchinski, [hep-th] 1412.5704 (2014).

[17] Lj. Davidović and B. Sazdović, Phys. Rev. **D 83**, 066014 (2011).

[18] Lj. Davidović and B. Sazdović, JHEP **08**, 112 (2011).

[19] Lj. Davidović and B. Sazdović, EPJ**C 72** No. 11, 2199 (2012).

[20] V. Schomerus, Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 5781 (2002).

[21] L. Cornalba and R. Schiappa, Commun.Math.Phys. **225**, 33 (2002).

[22] M. Herbst, A. Kling and M. Kreuzer, JHEP **09**, 014 (2001).

[23] A.Yu. Alekseev, A. Recknagel and V. Schomerus, JHEP **09**, 023 (1999).

[24] N. Seiberg, E. Witten, J. High Energy Phys. **09**, 032 (1999).